ChemComm

COMMUNICATION



View Article Online

Check for updates

Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2017, 53, 12361

Received 19th August 2017, Accepted 24th October 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7cc06530b

rsc.li/chemcomm

Low-cost CuNi@MIL-101 as an excellent catalyst toward cascade reaction: integration of ammonia borane dehydrogenation with nitroarene hydrogenation[†]

Ying-Hua Zhou,‡^{ab} Qihao Yang,‡^a Yu-Zhen Chen^a and Hai-Long Jiang ^[]*^a

Bimetallic CuNi nanoparticles (NPs) with low cost were rationally confined inside MIL-101 to give CuNi@MIL-101, which exhibits high efficiency and excellent recyclability toward the hydrogenation of nitroarenes under mild conditions on coupling with ammonia borane dehydrogenation. This is the first report on MOF-stabilized base metal NPs for cascade reactions.

Aromatic amines and their derivatives are high-value chemical raw materials for the intermediates of azo dyes, pigments, polyurethane, pharmaceuticals and agrochemicals.¹ The conventional synthetic route for aromatic amines is the catalytic hydrogenation of their corresponding nitroarenes under H_2 atmosphere in the presence of Pt, Pd, Au, or other noble metal-based nanoparticle catalysts,² but their widespread applications are limited by their low earth-abundance and high cost. Therefore, the design and synthesis of noble-metal-free catalysts with high and stable efficiency is highly desired.

As is known to all, tiny metal nanoparticles (NPs) with high catalytic activity usually possess high surface energies and are prone to aggregation, leading to deteriorated activity. One of the most effective solutions to avoid their aggregation is to confine metal NPs inside porous materials. As a class of crystalline porous materials, metal–organic frameworks (MOFs),³ featuring tailorable structures and high surface areas for potential applications in diverse fields,⁴ have been well demonstrated to be ideal hosts providing a confinement effect to stabilize fine metal NPs for catalysis.⁵

On the other hand, the hydrogenation of nitroarenes under the participation of hydrogen gas usually requires high pressure and high temperature, considering that hydrogen gas is hardly dissolvable in various solvents. Compared with hydrogen gas, alcohol as the reducing agent, such as ethanol, isopropanol, and glycerol, can guarantee sufficient contact between the reducing agent and nitroarenes. Nevertheless, alcohol-engaged hydrogenation reactions tend to be slow and/or lack reaction selectivity.⁶ To meet these challenges, in situ hydrogen production coupled with the reduction of nitroarenes would be a suitable solution. The in situ-generated hydrogen gas throughout the reaction system will readily and immediately react with the nitro group, enhancing the reaction efficiency. In this regard, ammonia borane (NH₃BH₃) could be an ideal choice as a high-quality hydrogen source due to the advantages of high hydrogen capacity (19.6 wt%), non-toxicity, stability, and high solubility in polar solvents of water and methanol.⁷ The hydrogen generated from NH₃BH₃ in water or/and methanol can be controllably released by suitable metal NPs.7c,8 The coupling of dehydrogenation of NH₃BH₃ and hydrogenation of nitroarenes in a cascade route is expected to achieve highly efficient reduction of nitroarenes.9

Recently, first-row transition metal (for example, Fe, Co and Ni) NPs have been recognized as efficient and low-cost catalysts for the dehydrogenation of NH₃BH₃.^{7c} However, preparation of the above metal NPs is generally carried out under harsh conditions, such as NaBH₄ or H₂ reduction under a high temperature or/and pressure owing to the negative electrode potential of M^{n+}/M . Unfortunately, many MOF hosts cannot sustain the harsh conditions. Therefore, it is desirable to obtain highly active noble-metalfree catalysts under mild conditions. It was reported that copper precursors could be readily reduced with moderate reductants, such as NH₃BH₃ and the M-H species produced during the NH₃BH₃ hydrolysis offered strong reducibility.10 Therefore, it is possible to prepare noble-metal-free metal NPs under mild conditions by selecting Cu(II) as an initiator to induce the reduction of first-row transition metals. Moreover, the resultant bimetallic NPs are expected to possess superior catalytic activity to their monometallic counterparts, due to strong synergistic effects between different metals.11

^a Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at the Microscale, CAS Key Laboratory of Soft Matter Chemistry, Collaborative Innovation Center of Suzhou Nano Science and Technology, Department of Chemistry, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, P. R. China. E-mail: jianglab@ustc.edu.cn

^b The Key Laboratory of Functional Molecular Solid, Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry and Materials Science, Anhui Normal University, Wuhu, Anhui 241000, P. R. China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c7cc06530b

[‡] These authors contributed equally to this work.



Scheme 1 Schematic depicting introduction and *in situ* reduction of Cu^{2+} and Ni^{2+} encapsulated into MIL-101 for cascade catalysis involving dehydrogenation of NH₃BH₃ and hydrogenation of nitroarenes.

Bearing the above points in mind, Cu(n) and Ni(n) were rationally incorporated into a mesoporous Cr(m)-based MOF, MIL-101, by a double solvent approach (DSA) followed by *in situ* reduction by NH_3BH_3 to achieve CuNi NPs with the average diameter of *ca.* 3 nm mainly encapsulated inside MIL-101. The obtained CuNi@MIL-101 exhibits excellent catalytic performance in cascade reactions of NH_3BH_3 dehydrogenation and nitroarene reduction (Scheme 1). In particular, the turnover frequency (TOF) for the hydrogenation of nitrobenzene is as high as 99.2 mol_{nitrobenzene} mol_{Ni}⁻¹ h⁻¹. The non-noble metal NPs@MOF has been rarely reported, and all reported cascade catalysis on the basis of metal NPs@MOFs involves noble metals.^{2b,12} To our knowledge, this is the first work involving noble-metal-free bimetallic NPs@MOF catalyzing a cascade reaction.

The mesoporous MOF MIL-101 with the chemical formula $Cr_3F(H_2O)_2O(BDC)_3 \cdot nH_2O$ (BDC = benzene-1,4-dicarboxylate, $n \approx 25$), was selected as a host matrix to incorporate metal NPs, due to its two giant cavities with diameters of 2.9 and 3.4 nm, large surface area and high stability in water and alcohol.¹³ An aqueous solution of Ni(NO₃)₂ and Cu(NO₃)₂ was dropwise pumped into the hexane containing the suspended MIL-101, with the volume of aqueous solution slightly less than the pore volume of MIL-101. To avoid a large deposition of CuNi NPs onto the external surface of MIL-101 and their possible aggregation, the double solvents approach was employed for the incorporation of the guest NPs. Since MIL-101 with the hydrophilic inner pore surface was suspended in the hydrophobic hexane, the aqueous solution of the metal salt was readily absorbed into MIL-101 pores by capillary force during the impregnation process.^{8a,12b} The Cu²⁺ and Ni²⁺ loaded into MIL-101 were subsequently reduced by NH₃BH₃ to give CuNi@MIL-101, where Cu NPs were formed first and behaved as seeds to induce the subsequent Ni²⁺ reduction to give CuNi NPs.

As shown in Fig. 1a, there was no apparent loss of crystallinity and structure based on powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns for MIL-101 after loading CuNi NPs. The PXRD patterns of CuNi@MIL-101 did not exhibit the identifiable diffraction peaks for CuNi NPs, implying that they were very small or/and in low content. Moreover, N₂ sorption isotherms were measured at 77 K (Fig. 1b). The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface areas



Fig. 1 (a) PXRD patterns of simulated MIL-101, as-synthesized MIL-101, and CuNi@MIL-101. (b) N₂ sorption isotherms of MIL-101 and CuNi@MIL-101 at 77 K. (c) Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and (d) high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images of CuNi@MIL-101.

of as-synthesized MIL-101 and CuNi@MIL-101 were 3660 and 1983 m² g⁻¹, respectively. The appreciable decrease in the amount of N₂ sorption indicated that CuNi NPs had dispersed to the cavities of MIL-101. In addition, TEM observation showed that CuNi NPs were of the size ~ 3 nm and the HRTEM image with a lattice fringe distance of 0.206 nm indicates that the CuNi NPs might have an alloyed structure (Fig. 1c, d and Fig. S1, ESI†). The actual content of Cu and Ni was determined as 1.09 wt% and 2.65 wt%, respectively, by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES).

To investigate the catalytic behavior, the as-synthesized CuNi@MIL-101 was applied as the catalyst for the cascade reaction of NH₃BH₃ dehydrogenation and nitroarene hydrogenation. The reaction was initiated by adding NH₃BH₃ into the reaction flask containing Cu2+/Ni2+@MIL-101 and nitroarene with vigorous stirring at room temperature. To explore the optimization of reaction conditions, nitrobenzene was first studied as the model substrate in the cascade catalysis over CuNi@MIL-101. Due to the poor solubility of nitroarene in water and its great solubility in methanol, a mixture solvent of methanol and water (v: v = 2:3)was employed to dissolve nitroarene and NH3BH3 to achieve the homogeneous solution. The reaction proceeded rapidly and nitrobenzene was converted to the target product of aniline within 4 min with a real Ni/nitroarene molar ratio of $\sim 0.15:1$ at 298 K (Table 1, entry 1). It is noteworthy that the TOF was calculated to be 99.2 mol_{nitrobenzene} mol_{Ni}⁻¹ h⁻¹ for CuNi@MIL-101, which is the highest value among the Ni-based catalysts ever reported for this reaction (Table S1, ESI†).

With the optimized reaction parameters, a series of substrates was applied to expand the scope of the cascade reaction over CuNi@MIL-101. Different nitroarenes investigated were converted into the corresponding anilines with excellent yields (>99%) at room temperature (Table 1). Various nitroarenes with electron-donating substituents connected to the aromatic backbone were able to be reduced to the related amine products

Table 1	Cascade r	reactions o	of NH ₃ BH ₃	dehydrogenation	and	nitroarene
hydroger	nation over	r CuNi@MI	IL-101 ^a			

$\mathbb{N}_{2} \mathbb{N}_{2} \mathbb$							
Entry	Substrate	Product	Yield ^c (%)	Time			
1			>99	4 min			
2			>99	4 min			
3	H ₃ C-	H ₃ C-NH ₂	>99	4 min			
4	H ₃ C NO ₂	H ₃ C NH ₂	>99	4 min			
5	CH ₃	CH ₃	>99	4 min			
6			>99	4 min			
7	H ₂ N NO ₂	H ₂ N NH ₂	>99	4 min			
8		NH ₂ NH ₂	>99	4 min			
9			>99	4 min			
10	CI NO2	CI NH2	>99	4 min			
11			>99	4 min			
12	F	F	>99	6 min			
13	O ₂ N NO ₂	H ₂ N NH ₂	>99	8 min			
14	NO2	O NH ₂	>99	5 min			
15			>99	6 min			
16^b			2	19 h			

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: 0.1 mmol nitroarene, 0.875 mmol NH₃BH₃, 33.5 mg Cu²⁺/Ni²⁺@MIL-101 (Cu: 1.09 wt%; Ni, 2.65 wt%), 4 mL MeOH, 6 mL H₂O, 25 °C. ^{*b*} NH₃BH₃ was replaced by 1 bar hydrogen. ^{*c*} Catalytic reaction products were analyzed and identified by gas chromatography.

in excellent yields within 4 min, such as nitrobenzenes bearing p-hydroxyl, o-methyl, *m*-methyl, p-methyl, o-amino, *m*-amino and *p*-amino groups (entries 2–8). In addition, the slight steric hindrance could be tolerated during the reduction of nitroarenes (entries 3-11). However, the electron-withdrawing substituents of the fluoro- and nitro-groups made the nitroarene reduction a little bit slower (entries 12 and 13). It should be noted that the nitroarenes containing other reducible groups of ketone and nitrile were successfully reduced to the targeted aniline products with absolute selectivity (entries 14 and 15). The strong electronic/conjugation interaction between the substituent (such as cyano-, keto-group) and the benzene backbone would account for the catalytic selectivity.9c These results



Fig. 2 (a) Plot of time *versus* the volume of hydrogen generated by the hydrolysis of 0.583 mmol NH₃BH₃ over CuNi@MIL-101 in the mixed solvent of methanol/water in the absence of nitroarene, and (b) in the mixed solvent of methanol/water containing different nitro compounds. (33.5 mg Cu²⁺/Ni²⁺@MIL-101, R-NO₂ = 0.1 mmol, MeOH = 4 mL, H₂O = 6 mL, T = 25 °C).

demonstrate that, when coupling with NH₃BH₃ dehydrogenation, CuNi@MIL-101 is highly efficient and chemoselective toward the reduction of various nitroarenes to the corresponding anilines.

To explore the possible reason behind the efficient cascade reaction, kinetic experiments for the hydrolytic dehydrogenation of NH₃BH₃ were carried out. Under the above mentioned conditions, in the absence of nitroarene substrates, the dehydrogenation of NH3BH3 over CuNi@MIL-101 proceeded rapidly, and the amount of hydrogen generated from NH₃BH₃ approached the theoretical maximal molar equivalents $[n(H_2):n(NH_3BH_3) \approx 3:1]$ within 8 min (Fig. 2a). Interestingly, when nitrobenenze was added into the reaction system, the hydrogen generation rate was further accelerated, particularly in the initiation stage (Fig. 2b). The phenomena could be attributed to the synergistic effect when the reactions of NH₃BH₃ dehydrogenation and nitroarene reduction were coupled. For comparison, the hydrogen source generated by NH₃BH₃ dehydrogenation for the nitroarene reduction was replaced by hydrogen flow from an external hydrogen supply, while other reaction parameters remained unaltered. However, the reaction yield was as slow as $\sim 2\%$ even after 19 hours (entry 16), indicating that the limited hydrogen gas dissolved in the solution significantly affected the molecular contact between hydrogen and substrate. Therefore, the coupling strategy of NH₃BH₃ dehydrogenation and nitroarene reduction would be an ideal route to efficient hydrogenation reaction.

The stability and recyclability of catalysts are important to their practical application. It was found that the catalytic performance and framework integrity of CuNi@MIL-101 were well retained after 20 consecutive runs without any treatment or activation of the catalyst (Table 2 and Fig. S2, ESI†), clearly demonstrating the reusability and durability of the catalyst. Moreover, the size and morphology of CuNi NPs had no significant change after 5 and even 20 runs, verifying the favorable confinement effect of the porous MIL-101 (Fig. S3, ESI†).

Referring to the previously reported CuNi/graphene catalyst,^{9d} the CuNi@MIL-101 with smaller CuNi NPs obtained by a facile synthesis exhibits significant improvement in both catalytic activity and recyclability, probably due to the great pore confinement effect in MIL-101. Moreover, CuNi/MIL-101 prepared by the wet impregnation method instead of DSA can maintain its activity for 4 consecutive cycles, and its activity gradually

Num. ^a	Yield (%)	Num.	Yield (%)	Num.	Yield (%)	Num.	Yield (%)
1	>99	6	>99	11	>99	16	>99
2	>99	7	>99	12	>99	17	>99
3	>99	8	>99	13	>99	18	>99
4	>99	9	>99	14	>99	19	>99
5	>99	10	>99	15	>99	20	>99

^{*a*} Num.= number of cycles. Reaction conditions: 0.1 mmol nitrobenzene, 0.875 mmol NH₃BH₃, 33.5 mg Cu^{2+} /Ni²⁺@MIL-101, 4 mL MeOH, 6 mL H₂O, 25 °C; the yield was evaluated at 4 min for each run.

decreases to 85%, 81% and 79% in the subsequent 5th–7th cycles, respectively, which might be explained by the unstable CuNi NPs mainly stayed on MIL-101 (Fig. S4, ESI†).

In summary, a cascade process has been successfully developed to enhance the catalytic reduction of nitroarene by coupling with NH3BH3 dehydrogenation. CuNi@MIL-101 catalyst was facilely synthesized by rational incorporation of Cu²⁺/Ni²⁺ ions into MIL-101 via DSA accompanied by in situ reduction with NH₃BH₃. The resultant CuNi@MIL-101 exhibits superior catalytic activity for the cascade reaction of NH3BH3 dehydrogenation and the subsequent selective reduction of nitroarenes. The excellent activity could be attributed to the fact that the hydrogen, in situ generated from NH₃BH₃, is beneficial because of its sufficient contact with the substrate, and thus accelerates the nitroarene reduction. Various nitroarenes with different substituents can be reduced to the corresponding anilines in 4-8 min with > 99% yields. Moreover, the great stability and recyclability of the CuNi@MIL-101 catalyst can be unambiguously demonstrated by twenty consecutive runs of the cascade reaction. We believe the obtained cost-effective catalyst is very promising for nitroarene hydrogenation in the presence of in situ generated hydrogen from ammonia borane and relative cascade reactions for industrial applications. Research along this line is ongoing in our laboratory.

This work is supported by the NSFC (21371162, 21673213, 21521001, and 21771004), the National Research Fund for Fundamental Key Project (2014CB931803) and the Recruitment Program of Global Youth Experts.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- 1 (*a*) R. S. Downing, P. J. Kunkeler and H. van Bekkum, *Catal. Today*, 1997, **37**, 121–136; (*b*) A. Grirrane, A. Corma and H. Garcia, *Science*, 2008, **322**, 1661–1664.
- (a) A. Liu, C. H. H. Traulsen and J. J. L. M. Cornelissen, ACS Catal., 2016,
 6, 3084–3091; (b) Q. Yang, Y.-Z. Chen, Z. U. Wang, Q. Xu and H.-L. Jiang, Chem. Commun., 2015, **51**, 10419–10422; (c) T. Mitsudome and K. Kaneda, Green Chem., 2013, **15**, 2636–2654; (d) J. Li, X.-Y. Shi, Y.-Y. Bi, J.-F. Wei and Z.-G. Chen, ACS Catal., 2011, **1**, 657–664.

- 3 (a) H.-C. Zhou and S. Kitagawa, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, 43, 5415–5418;
 (b) H. Furukawa, K. E. Cordova, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2013, 341, 974–987;
 (c) T. Islamoglu, S. Goswami, Z. Li, A. J. Howarth, O. K. Farha and J. T. Hupp, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2017, 50, 805–813;
 (d) B. Li, H.-M. Wen, Y. Cui, W. Zhou, G. Qian and B. Chen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, 28, 8819–8860.
- 4 (a) T. K. Kim, K. J. Lee, J. Y. Cheon, J. H. Lee, S. H. Joo and H. R. Moon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 8940–8946; (b) Z. Hu, B. J. Deibert and J. Li, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 5815–5840; (c) H.-X. Zhang, M. Liu, T. Wen and J. Zhang, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2016, 307, 255–266; (d) P.-Q. Liao, W.-X. Zhang, J.-P. Zhang and X.-M. Chen, Nat. Commun., 2015, 6, 8697; (e) J. Pang, F. Jiang, M. Wu, C. Liu, K. Su, W. Lu, D. Yuan and M. Hong, Nat. Commun., 2015, 6, 7575; (f) D. Farrusseng, S. Aguado and C. Pinel, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 7502–7513; (g) H.-L. Jiang and Q. Xu, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 3351–3370.
- 5 (a) A. Aijaz, T. Akita, N. Tsumori and Q. Xu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 16356–16359; (b) Q. Yang, Q. Xu and H.-L. Jiang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2017, 46, 4774–4808; (c) Q. Yang, Q. Xu, S.-H. Yu and H.-L. Jiang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 3685–3689; (d) P. Hu, J. V. Morabito and C.-K. Tsung, ACS Catal., 2014, 4, 4409–4419; (e) B. Yuan, Y. Pan, Y. Li, B. Yin and H. Jiang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 4054–4058; (f) J. Hermannsdörfer, M. Friedrich, N. Miyajima, R. Q. Albuquerque, S. Kümmel and R. Kempe, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 11473–11477; (g) A. Dhakshinamoorthy and H. Garcia, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 5262–5284; (h) H. R. Moon, D.-W. Lim and M. P. Suh, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 1807–1824; (i) M. Zhao, K. Yuan, Y. Wang, G. Li, J. Guo, L. Gu, W. Hu, H. Zhao and Z. Tang, Nature, 2016, 539, 76–80.
- 6 (a) S. K. Mohapatra, S. U. Sonavane, R. V. Jayaram and P. Selvam, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2002, 43, 8527–8529; (b) M. B. Gawande, A. K. Rathi, P. S. Branco, I. D. Nogueira, A. Velhinho, J. J. Shrikhande, U. U. Indulkar, R. V. Jayaram, C. A. A. Ghumman, N. Bundaleski and O. M. N. D. Teodoro, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2012, 18, 12628–12632.
- 7 (a) Q. Yao, Z.-H. Lu, W. Huang, X. Chen and J. Zhu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2016, 4, 8579–8583; (b) U. B. Demirci and P. Miele, Energy Environ. Sci., 2009, 2, 627; (c) W.-W. Zhan, Q.-L. Zhu and Q. Xu, ACS Catal., 2016, 6, 6892–6905.
- 8 (a) Q.-L. Zhu, J. Li and Q. Xu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 10210–10213;
 (b) B. Nisanci, K. Ganjehyan, Ö. Metin, A. Dastan and B. Torok, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2015, 409, 191–197; (c) H. Erdogan, Ö. Metin and S. Özkar, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2009, 11, 10519–10525.
- 9 (a) H. Goksu, H. Can, K. Sendil, M. S. Gultekin and Ö. Metin, Appl. Catal., A, 2014, 488, 176–182; (b) H. Goksu, S. F. Ho, O. Metin, K. Korkmaz, A. M. Garcia, M. S. Gultekin and S. H. Sun, ACS Catal., 2014, 4, 1777–1782; (c) X. Ma, Y.-X. Zhou, H. Liu, Y. Li and H.-L. Jiang, Chem. Commun., 2016, 52, 7719–7722; (d) C. Yu, J. Fu, M. Muzzio, T. Shen, D. Su, J. Zhu and S. Sun, Chem. Mater., 2017, 29, 1413–1418.
- 10 (a) S. B. Kalidindi, M. Indirani and B. R. Jagirdar, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, 47, 7424–7429; (b) Y.-Z. Chen, Q. Xu, S.-H. Yu and H.-L. Jiang, *Small*, 2015, 11, 71–76; (c) Y.-Z. Chen, L. Liang, Q. Yang, M. Hong, Q. Xu, S.-H. Yu and H.-L. Jiang, *Mater. Horiz.*, 2015, 2, 606–612.
- (a) H.-L. Jiang and Q. Xu, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 13705–13725;
 (b) W. Feng, L. Yang, N. Cao, C. Du, H. Dai, W. Luo and G. Cheng, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2014, 39, 3371–3380; (c) M. Sankar, N. Dimitratos, P. J. Miedziak, P. P. Wells, C. J. Kiely and G. J. Hutchings, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 8099–8139.
- 12 (a) X. Li, Z. Guo, C. Xiao, T. W. Goh, D. Tesfagaber and W. Huang, ACS Catal., 2014, 4, 3490–3497; (b) Y.-Z. Chen, Y.-X. Zhou, H. Wang, J. Lu, T. Uchida, Q. Xu, S.-H. Yu and H.-L. Jiang, ACS Catal., 2015, 5, 2062–2069; (c) M. Zhao, K. Deng, L. He, Y. Liu, G. Li, H. Zhao and Z. Tang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 1738–1741; (d) Z. Li, R. Yu, J. Huang, Y. Shi, D. Zhang, X. Zhong, D. Wang, Y. Wu and Y. Li, Nat. Commun., 2015, 6, 8248; (e) Y.-B. Huang, J. Liang, X.-S. Wang and R. Cao, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2017, 46, 126–157; (f) F. G. Cirujano, F. X. Llabres i Xamena and A. Corma, Dalton Trans., 2012, 41, 4249–4254; (g) J.-S. Wang, F.-Z. Jin, H.-C. Ma, X.-B. Li, M.-Y. Liu, J.-L. Kan, G. Chen and Y.-B. Dong, Inorg. Chem., 2016, 55, 6685–6691.
- G. Férey, C. Mellot-Draznieks, C. Serre, F. Millange, J. Dutour, S. Surblé and I. Margiolaki, *Science*, 2005, 309, 2040–2042.